UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,561	11/30/2006	Suhung-Gwon Kim	2443.0040000	3319
	7590 12/24/200 SLER, GOLDSTEIN &		EXAMINER	
1100 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.	SEOH, MINNAH L		
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3686	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,561	KIM, SUHUNG-GWON	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MINNAH SEOH	3686	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 c 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or a subject to restriction and/or a subject to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 January 2006 is/are	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 14 February 2008; 1 April 2009; 23 Sep	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F otember 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3686 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in reply to the Application filed on 12 January 2006.
- 2. Claim 5 was amended.
- 3. Claims 6-8 were added.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are currently pending and have been examined.

Drawings

5. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawings are illegible in places and Korean is used throughout. Please see MPEP 608.02 for further explanation. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 3

7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell et al. (US 6047259).

CLAIM 1 -

As per claim 1, Campbell et al. disclose a method comprising:

- a first step of managing medical information required for treating patients in such a manner that a service-providing system (*The remote computer 149 is usually a server see col. 5 II. 9-10 of Campbell et al.*) (10) transmits a doctor web screen, a nurse web screen, and an laboratory web screen for medical information management to a doctor terminal (20), a nurse terminal (30), and an laboratory staff terminal (40) connected to the service-providing system (10) over the Internet or an Intranet (*Internet see col. 5 II. 25 of Campbell et al.*), respectively stores information input through the web screens from the terminals to share the information through the respective web screens (*server software coordinates communication among the client computers, manages a database of client and patient data see col. 3 II. 55-57 of Campbell et al.*)
- a second step of, by the service-providing system (10), providing a menu on the
 web screens, the menu allowing a user to add, delete and modify the medical
 record forms provided through the web screens so that the user inputs medical
 information on patients, and storing the added, deleted and modified medical
 record forms (see Fig. 3 of Campbell et al.)
- a third step of, by the service-providing system (10), providing a list of the stored medical record forms if there is a request for inspection of the list, and providing the medical record forms selected from the list through the web screens (series of buttons 410 that list and navigate to screens used to obtain input and guide the user through the physical exam see Fig. 4; col. 12 II. 18-20 of Campbell et al.)

Art Unit: 3686 Page 4

• a fourth step of, by the service-providing system (10), providing a menu through the web screens, the menu allowing the user to directly select a medical record form provided as a default record form through the web screens (series of buttons 410 that list and navigate to screens used to obtain input and guide the user through the physical exam see Fig. 4; col. 12 II. 18-20 of Campbell et al.), and providing the selected medical record form as a default document through the web screens (many of the observations listed in the exam screens default to normal see col. 13 II. 19-20 of Campbell et al.)

Note that the different web screens and terminals are considered intended use since they are not sufficiently defined in the specification as to patentably distinguish them from each other.

CLAIM 2 -

Campbell et al. disclose the method of claim 1 above. Campbell et al. further disclose:

• a fifth step of, by the service-providing system (10), providing a menu through the web screens, the menu allowing the users to insert an image into the medical record forms, wherein the image is either a photographed image obtained by photographing a wound portion of a patient or an image template used for illustration (screen 700 used to prompt the user for graphical input of medical observations see col. 15 II. 56-57 of Campbell et al.), and is stored together with the medical information on patients input into the medical record forms (the client software records medical observations as observation records in a database file see col. 16 II. 5-6 of Campbell et al.)

CLAIM 3 -

Campbell et al. disclose the method of claim 2 above. Campbell et al. further disclose:

 wherein the photographed image is stored in at least one of the doctor terminal (20), the nurse terminal (30), and the laboratory terminal (40), is uploaded to the service-providing system through the menu enabling the insertion of the image,

Art Unit: 3686 Page 5

and is stored together with the medical information on patients (the client software records medical observations as observation records in a database file see col. 16 II. 5-6 of Campbell et al.)

CLAIM 4 -

Campbell et al. disclose the method of claim 2 above. Campbell et al. further disclose:

• wherein the image template used for illustration can be uploaded to or modified or deleted in the service-providing system by means of an image template management menu provided on the web screens (screen 700 used to prompt the user for graphical input of medical observations see col. 15 II. 56-57 of Campbell et al.), and the uploaded or modified image template is stored in a folder designated by the user or automatically in a folder designated according to the user information and is stored together with the medical information on patients through the menu enabling the insertion of the image (the client software records medical observations as observation records in a database file see col. 16 II. 5-6 of Campbell et al.)

The choice of where to store the image template would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. In order to simplify the filing system, it would have been obvious to create a folder with all of a patients files and continue storing all information on the patient in that folder.

CLAIM 5-8 –

Campbell et al. disclose the methods of claims 1-4 above. Campbell et al. further disclose:

wherein the medical record forms can be classified and managed according to
doctors or treatment departments, and authority to add, delete and modify the
medical record forms can be limited (the server 202 maintains a table that lists
computers and users indexed to data and functions that the computer or
user can access....This table keeps track of who is logged into the system

Art Unit: 3686 Page 6

and determines, based on who is logged in, what functions and data each person will be able to access see col. 6 II. 26-33 of Campbell et al.)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINNAH SEOH whose telephone number is (571) 270-7778. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry O'Connor can be reached on (571) 272-6787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

/M. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3686 December 10, 2009

> /Gerald J. O'Connor/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3686